

# The choice of $\omega_1$ and combinatorics at $\aleph_\omega$

Spencer Unger

UCLA

October 19, 2013

# Outline

Combinatorial properties

Theorems using supercompactness

Bringing results down to  $\aleph_\omega$

Some new theorems

A sketch of a proof

Remarks

# Combinatorial properties

There are three weak square principles that we will be interested in  $\square_{\nu}^*$ ,  $\nu^+ \in I[\nu^+]$  and “All scales of length  $\nu^+$  are good”. In fact they are strictly decreasing in strength by a theorem of Shelah and some consistency results.

## Theorem (Shelah)

*For a singular cardinal  $\nu$ ,  $\square_{\nu}^*$  implies  $\nu^+ \in I[\nu^+]$  implies “All scales of length  $\nu^+$  are good”.*

# Weak square

Weak square was introduced by Jensen who proved that it holds if and only if there is a special Aronszajn tree.

## Definition

Let  $\nu$  be a cardinal. A  $\square_{\nu}^*$ -sequence is a sequence  $\langle \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu^+ \rangle$  such that

1. for all  $\alpha < \nu^+$ ,  $1 \leq |\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}| \leq \nu$ ,
2. for all  $\alpha < \nu^+$  and for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ ,  $C$  is club in  $\alpha$  and  $\text{o.t.}(C) \leq \nu$  and
3. for all  $\alpha < \nu^+$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$  and  $\beta \in \text{lim}(C)$ ,  $C \cap \beta \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ .

# Approachability

The notion of approachability was introduced by Shelah. In fact there is an ideal of approachable sets.

## Definition

Let  $\mu$  be a regular cardinal and  $\langle x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \mu \rangle$  be a sequence of bounded subsets of  $\mu$ . An ordinal  $\gamma < \mu$  is *approachable with respect to  $\vec{x}$*  if there is  $A \subseteq \gamma$  cofinal such that  $\text{o.t.}(A) = \text{cf}(\gamma)$  and for all  $\beta < \gamma$ , there is  $\delta < \gamma$  such that  $A \cap \beta = x_\delta$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mu$  be a cardinal. A set  $S \subseteq \mu$  is in the collection of approachable subsets  $I[\mu]$  if and only if there are a club  $C \subseteq \mu$  and a sequence  $\vec{x}$  such that for all  $\gamma \in S \cap C$ ,  $\gamma$  is approachable with respect to  $\vec{x}$ .

## Fact

*For a regular cardinal  $\mu$ ,  $I[\mu]$  is an ideal.*

If  $\mu \in I[\mu]$ , then we say that  $\mu$  has the Approachability property.

# Scales

Scales are a notion from Shelah's PCF theory.

- ▶ The general setting is a singular cardinal  $\nu$  with an increasing and cofinal sequence  $\langle \nu_i \mid i < \text{cf}(\nu) \rangle$  of regular cardinals less than  $\nu$ .
- ▶ Given members  $f, g \in \prod_i \nu_i$  we say that  $f <^* g$  if and only if there is a  $j < \text{cf}(\nu)$  such that for all  $i \geq j$ ,  $f(i) < g(i)$ .
- ▶ A sequence of functions  $\langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \nu^+ \rangle$  is a *scale of length  $\nu^+$*  in  $\prod_i \nu_i$  if it is increasing and cofinal in  $\prod_i \nu_i$  under the ordering  $<^*$ .
- ▶ A point  $\gamma < \nu^+$  with  $\text{cf}(\gamma) > \text{cf}(\nu)$  is *good* for a scale  $\vec{f}$  of length  $\nu^+$  if there are  $A \subseteq \gamma$  cofinal and  $j < \text{cf}(\nu)$  such that for all  $i \geq j$  the sequence  $\langle f_\alpha(i) \mid \alpha \in A \rangle$  is strictly increasing.

## Scales continued

- ▶ A scale  $\vec{f}$  is *good* if there is a club  $C \subseteq \nu^+$  such that all  $\gamma$  in  $C$  of cofinality greater than  $\text{cf}(\nu)$  are good for  $\vec{f}$ .
- ▶ A scale  $\vec{f}$  is *bad* if it is not good. We say there is a bad scale of length  $\nu^+$  if there is a bad scale of length  $\nu^+$  in some product  $\prod \nu_i$ .

Recall

### Theorem (Shelah)

For a singular  $\nu$ ,  $\square_\nu^*$  implies  $\nu^+ \in I[\nu^+]$  implies “All scales of length  $\nu^+$  are good”.

## Stationary reflection

Let  $\mu$  be a regular cardinal. Recall that a stationary subset  $S$  of  $\mu$  reflects if there is an  $\alpha < \mu$  such that  $S \cap \alpha$  is stationary.



## Theorems from supercompactness

It turns out that all of the weak square principles above fail in the presence of supercompactness.

### Theorem

*Let  $\kappa$  be a supercompact cardinal and  $\nu > \kappa$  be singular with  $\text{cf}(\nu) < \kappa$ , every scale of length  $\nu^+$  is bad.*

- ▶ Let  $\langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \nu^+ \rangle$  be a scale in the product  $\prod_i \nu_i$ .
- ▶ Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  witness that  $\kappa$  is  $\nu^+$ -supercompact. In particular  $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$  and  $\nu^+ M \subseteq M$ .

## A proof continued

- ▶ Let  $\gamma = \sup j''\nu^+$  and note that  $\gamma < j(\nu^+)$ .
- ▶ It is not hard to show that the function,  $i \mapsto \sup j''\nu_i$  is a so called exact upper bound for  $\langle j(f)_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma \rangle$ .
- ▶ It follows that  $\gamma$  is bad for  $j(\vec{f})$ .
- ▶ Standard reflection arguments show that there is a stationary set  $S \subseteq \nu^+$  of bad points for  $\vec{f}$ . Moreover  $S$  concentrates on cofinalities  $\mu^+$  where  $\mu$  is a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\text{cf}(\nu)$ .

# Theorems from supercompactness continued

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $\nu$  is a singular limit of supercompact cardinals, then every stationary subset of  $\nu^+$  reflects.*

## Proof.

- ▶ Suppose that  $S \subseteq \nu^+$  is stationary. There is a regular cardinal  $\delta < \nu$  such that  $S' =_{\text{def}} S \cap \text{cof}(\delta)$  is stationary. It is enough to show that  $S'$  reflects.
- ▶ Let  $\kappa$  be a supercompact cardinal greater than  $\delta$  and let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  witness that  $\kappa$  is  $\nu^+$ -supercompact.
- ▶ Now since  $\delta < \kappa$  we can show that  $j(S') \cap \text{sup } j''\nu^+$  is stationary in  $M$ .
- ▶ It follows that  $S'$  reflects in  $V$ .



## Bringing results down to $\aleph_\omega$

### Theorem

*Assuming there is a supercompact cardinal it is relatively consistent that there is a bad scale of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ , in particular  $\square_{\aleph_\omega}^*$  fails.*

Ideas of the proof:

- ▶ Fix a scale of length  $\kappa^{+\omega+1}$  in the product  $\prod \kappa^{+n}$ .
- ▶ By our previous argument the scale has stationarily many bad points of some cofinality  $\mu^+ < \kappa$ .
- ▶ Force with  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \mu) \times \text{Coll}(\mu^{++}, < \kappa)$ .
- ▶ Show that the scale is still a scale.
- ▶ Show that the stationary set of bad points is preserved.
- ▶ Show that it is still a set of bad points, but now concentrating on cofinality  $\omega_1$ .

## Bringing results down continued

### Theorem (Magidor)

*Assume that there are infinitely many supercompact cardinals, then it is consistent that every stationary subset of  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  reflects.*

Ideas of the proof:

- ▶ Let  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of supercompact cardinals.
- ▶ Iterate Levy Collapses with full support starting with  $\text{Coll}(\omega < \kappa_0)$  and in general  $\Vdash_n \dot{Q}_n = \text{Coll}(\kappa_n, < \kappa_{n+1})$ .
- ▶ Try to repeat the proof we sketched above. Now that there is forcing involved, we must use *generic* elementary embeddings.
- ▶ The proof is mostly the same except that we need to force to see that the generic embeddings exist.
- ▶ The key point in the proof is to show that the forcing that adds some elementary embedding cannot destroy the stationarity of some set.
- ▶ To prove this fact we actually show that in the final model  $\aleph_{\omega+1} \in I[\aleph_{\omega+1}]$ .

# The choice of $\omega_1$

## Some Remarks:

- ▶ In the proof that it is consistent that there is bad scale of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ , we chose  $\mu^+$  which was a successor of a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\omega$  to become  $\omega_1$ .
- ▶ In the proof of Magidor's theorem above we made a supercompact cardinal into  $\omega_1$ .
- ▶ Recall that by the theorem of Shelah at the beginning, there is a bad scale of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  is incompatible with the approachability property at  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ .
- ▶ We will see later Magidor's theorem requires a formerly supercompact  $\omega_1$ .

## One more theorem for background

### Theorem (Cummings and Foreman)

*Assuming there are infinitely many supercompact cardinals, it is consistent that the tree property holds at  $\aleph_n$  for  $2 \leq n < \omega$ .*

## Some new theorems

Let  $\mathbb{R}_\omega$  be the Cummings-Foreman iteration. For each of the following theorems we assume that there are infinitely many supercompact cardinals.

### Theorem (U)

*Suppose that  $V$  is a model obtained by forcing with  $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$  for some supercompact  $\kappa$ , then in  $V[\mathbb{R}_\omega]$*

1.  $\aleph_{\omega+1} \in I[\aleph_{\omega+1}]$  and
2. *for every  $n < \omega$ , every stationary subset of  $\aleph_{\omega+1} \cap \text{cof}(\aleph_n)$  reflects at a point of cofinality  $\aleph_{n+1}$ .*

### Theorem (U)

*There are a  $\mu < \kappa_0$  and a generic object  $d * G_\omega$  for  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \mu) * (\mathbb{R}_\omega)_{V[\text{Coll}(\omega, \mu)]}$  such that in  $V[d * G_\omega]$ , there are a bad scale of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  and a non-reflecting stationary subset of  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ .*



## Another theorem

Theorem (U and Fontanella independently)

*In the Cummings-Foreman model,  $\text{ITP}(\aleph_n, \lambda)$  holds for all  $n$  with  $1 < n < \omega$  and all  $\lambda \geq \aleph_n$ .*

## An explanatory theorem

Let  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of supercompact cardinals. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the direct sum of  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \mu)$  for  $\mu < \kappa_0$  a singular cardinal of cofinality  $\omega$ . Let  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -name for the full support iteration of Levy collapses to make  $\kappa_n$  into  $\aleph_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

### Theorem

*There is a generic object  $c * G$  for  $\mathbb{C} * \dot{\mathbb{R}}$  such that in  $V[c * G]$  there are a bad scale of length  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  and a non reflecting stationary subset of  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ .*

## Ideas from the proof

- ▶ Let  $V_0$  be a model of GCH with infinitely many supercompact cardinals  $\kappa_n$  for  $n < \omega$  and let  $V$  be the extension of  $V_0$  by the Laver preparation for  $\kappa_0$ .
- ▶ Let  $\vec{f}$  be a scale in  $V_0$  in  $\prod \kappa_n$ . Note that  $\vec{f}$  is a bad scale in  $V_0$  and in  $V$ .
- ▶ It is not hard to show that  $\vec{f}$  remains a scale in  $V[\mathbb{C} * \dot{\mathbb{R}}]$ .
- ▶ It is also not hard to show if  $\alpha$  is a bad point of  $\vec{f}$  in  $V_0$ , then it is still a bad point of  $\vec{f}$  in  $V[\mathbb{C} * \dot{R}]$ .
- ▶ The key difficulty is to show that the stationary set of bad points from  $V_0$  is preserved in the extension by some generic for  $\mathbb{C} * \dot{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $\mathbb{C}$  chooses  $\omega_1$ .

## A useful lemma

### Lemma

*Suppose that  $V$  is obtained from  $V_0$  by forcing with the Laver preparation for some supercompact cardinal  $\kappa$ . Further assume that  $\vec{f} \in V_0$  is a bad scale of length  $\nu^+$  for singular  $\nu$  with  $\text{cf}(\nu) < \kappa$  with set of bad points  $S$ . If  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcing which preserves  $\nu^+$ , then in  $V[\mathbb{P}]$   $S$  contains a stationary set of bad points.*

## Ideas continued

- ▶ To show that there is a non-reflecting stationary set we note that actually the stationary set of bad points of cofinality  $\omega_1$  does not reflect.
- ▶ To see this note that the set of bad points cannot reflect at a good point.
- ▶ Then we just show that all points of cofinality  $\aleph_n$  for  $n > 1$  are good for our scale.
- ▶ It follows that the set of bad points does not reflect.

## Remarks

Extra difficulties when the forcing is not just iterated Levy Collapses.

- ▶ The generic embeddings are added by much more complex forcing.
- ▶ It is no longer true that the forcing can be written as  $(\kappa_n\text{-cc forcing}) * (\kappa_n\text{-closed forcing})$ .
- ▶ We can replace  $\kappa_n$ -closed with  $< \kappa_n$ -distributive and this is enough.

# The paper

*A model of Cummings and Foreman revisited, Submitted*