

A microscopic approach to higher Souslin-tree constructions

Ari Meir Brodsky

Bar-Ilan University

Boise Extravaganza in Set Theory
Monday, June 15, 2015 ©

This is joint work with Assaf Rinot, and still in progress.

Souslin Trees — History

Souslin's Problem (1920):

Is every ccc dense linear ordering necessarily separable?

A counterexample would be called a Souslin line.

Souslin Trees — History

Souslin's Problem (1920):

Is every ccc dense linear ordering necessarily separable?

A counterexample would be called a Souslin line.

Theorem (Kurepa, 1935)

\exists *Souslin line* $\iff \exists$ *Souslin tree*.

Definition

A tree T is **Souslin** if:

- ▶ it has height ω_1 ,
- ▶ every chain is countable, and
- ▶ every antichain is countable.

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Yes?

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Yes?

No?

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Yes?

No?

Maybe!

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Yes?

No?

Maybe!

Theorem

Souslin's problem is independent of ZFC.

Souslin Trees — History

So... does there exist a Souslin tree?

Yes?

No?

Maybe!

Theorem

Souslin's problem is independent of ZFC.

Among other constructions:

$\diamond \implies \exists$ *Souslin tree* (Jensen, 1972)

$MA_{\aleph_1} \implies \nexists$ *Souslin tree* (Solovay & Tennenbaum, 1971)

Subsequent Progress

Once Souslin trees were known (consistently) to exist, efforts were made to extend the result in different directions:

1. κ -Souslin trees at higher cardinals $\kappa > \aleph_1$;
2. Souslin trees with additional properties;
3. Souslin trees from weaker axioms.

Subsequent Progress

Once Souslin trees were known (consistently) to exist, efforts were made to extend the result in different directions:

1. κ -Souslin trees at higher cardinals $\kappa > \aleph_1$;
2. Souslin trees with additional properties;
3. Souslin trees from weaker axioms.

In the direction of (1), constructions of κ -Souslin trees often require distinguishing different kinds of cardinals, depending on whether

- ▶ $\kappa = \lambda^+$ for λ regular;
- ▶ $\kappa = \lambda^+$ for $\aleph_0 = \text{cf}(\lambda) < \lambda$;
- ▶ $\kappa = \lambda^+$ for $\aleph_0 < \text{cf}(\lambda) < \lambda$.

Subsequent Progress

Examples of extra properties that a Souslin trees have been constructed to satisfy:

- ▶ free;
- ▶ hard to specialize (remains non-special in any cofinality-preserving extension);
- ▶ specializable (can become special in some cofinality-preserving extension);
- ▶ complete (e.g. σ -closed);
- ▶ rigid;
- ▶ homogeneous.

Subsequent Progress

Examples of extra properties that a Souslin trees have been constructed to satisfy:

- ▶ free;
- ▶ hard to specialize (remains non-special in any cofinality-preserving extension);
- ▶ specializable (can become special in some cofinality-preserving extension);
- ▶ complete (e.g. σ -closed);
- ▶ rigid;
- ▶ homogeneous.

What happens when we try to combine these properties?

Free Souslin Trees

If S is a Souslin tree, its square $S \times S$ cannot be Souslin.

Free Souslin Trees

If S is a Souslin tree, its square $S \times S$ cannot be Souslin.
What's the closest we can get?

Free Souslin Trees

If S is a Souslin tree, its square $S \times S$ cannot be Souslin.
What's the closest we can get?

Definition

For any infinite cardinals $\chi < \kappa$, a κ -Souslin tree T is said to be χ -free if for every nonzero $\tau < \chi$, any $\delta < \kappa$, and any sequence of distinct nodes $\langle w_\xi \mid \xi < \tau \rangle \in {}^\tau T_\delta$, the product tree $\bigotimes_{\xi < \tau} w_\xi \uparrow$ is again a κ -Souslin tree.

Free Souslin Trees

If S is a Souslin tree, its square $S \times S$ cannot be Souslin.
What's the closest we can get?

Definition

For any infinite cardinals $\chi < \kappa$, a κ -Souslin tree T is said to be χ -free if for every nonzero $\tau < \chi$, any $\delta < \kappa$, and any sequence of distinct nodes $\langle w_\xi \mid \xi < \tau \rangle \in {}^\tau T_\delta$, the product tree $\bigotimes_{\xi < \tau} w_\xi \uparrow$ is again a κ -Souslin tree.

Interestingly, Jensen's original \aleph_1 -Souslin tree constructed from \diamond was \aleph_0 -free.

Free Souslin Trees

If S is a Souslin tree, its square $S \times S$ cannot be Souslin.
What's the closest we can get?

Definition

For any infinite cardinals $\chi < \kappa$, a κ -Souslin tree T is said to be χ -free if for every nonzero $\tau < \chi$, any $\delta < \kappa$, and any sequence of distinct nodes $\langle w_\xi \mid \xi < \tau \rangle \in {}^\tau T_\delta$, the product tree $\bigotimes_{\xi < \tau} w_\xi \uparrow$ is again a κ -Souslin tree.

Interestingly, Jensen's original \aleph_1 -Souslin tree constructed from \diamond was \aleph_0 -free.

We'll come back to this after we look at another property.

Ascent Paths

Definition

For any infinite cardinals $\theta < \kappa$, an $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\text{bd}}$ -*ascent path* through a κ -Souslin tree $\langle T, <_T \rangle$ is a sequence $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$, where:

1. $f_\alpha : \theta \rightarrow T_\alpha$ is a function for each $\alpha < \kappa$;
2. $\{i \in \theta \mid f_\alpha(i) <_T f_\beta(i)\}$ is a co-bounded subset of θ whenever $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$.

Ascent Paths

Definition

For any infinite cardinals $\theta < \kappa$, an $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\text{bd}}$ -*ascent path* through a κ -Souslin tree $\langle T, <_T \rangle$ is a sequence $\vec{f} = \langle f_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$, where:

1. $f_\alpha : \theta \rightarrow T_\alpha$ is a function for each $\alpha < \kappa$;
2. $\{i \in \theta \mid f_\alpha(i) <_T f_\beta(i)\}$ is a co-bounded subset of θ whenever $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$.

Why is this useful?

Ascent Paths — First Application

For a tree T , consider the ω -reduced power tree ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω .

Ascent Paths — First Application

For a tree T , consider the ω -reduced power tree ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω .

How do T and ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ compare?

Ascent Paths — First Application

For a tree T , consider the ω -reduced power tree ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω .

How do T and ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ compare?

Devlin constructed a consistent example of an \aleph_2 -Souslin tree T with an $\mathcal{F}_{\aleph_0}^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path.

Ascent Paths — First Application

For a tree T , consider the ω -reduced power tree ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω .

How do T and ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ compare?

Devlin constructed a consistent example of an \aleph_2 -Souslin tree T with an $\mathcal{F}_{\aleph_0}^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path.

Since T has an $\mathcal{F}_{\aleph_0}^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path, it follows that ${}^\omega T/\mathcal{U}$ has a cofinal branch, that is, the ω -reduced power tree is not even Aronszajn.

Ascent Paths — Second Application

Shelah proved that if $\langle T, <_T \rangle$ is a special λ^+ -tree that admits an $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path, then $\text{cf}(\lambda) = \text{cf}(\theta)$. This provides an approach to constructions of λ^+ -trees that are impossible to specialize without changing cofinalities.

Conflicting Properties

Theorem

Suppose that $\theta < \kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa)$ are infinite cardinals, and that $(T, <_T)$ is a normal splitting κ -tree that admits an $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path.

Then $(T, <_T)$ is not a θ^+ -free κ -Souslin tree.

The Proxy Principle

We would like a unified principle \mathcal{P} that can be used to construct the various κ -Souslin trees regardless of the nature of κ , and this principle should follow from all the usual hypotheses that have been used in such constructions.

The Proxy Principle

We would like a unified principle \mathcal{P} that can be used to construct the various κ -Souslin trees regardless of the nature of κ , and this principle should follow from all the usual hypotheses that have been used in such constructions.

Is this possible?

The Proxy Principle

We would like a unified principle P that can be used to construct the various κ -Souslin trees regardless of the nature of κ , and this principle should follow from all the usual hypotheses that have been used in such constructions.

Is this possible?

$$P(\kappa, \mu, \mathcal{R}, \theta, \mathcal{S}, \nu, \sigma, \varpi)$$

Tree-Indexed Ascent Paths

Definition

Suppose that $U \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ is a downward-closed κ -tree.

A U -indexed $\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path through a κ -tree $\langle T, <_T \rangle$ is a sequence $\vec{f} = \langle f_u \mid u \in U \rangle$ such that:

1. $f_u : \theta \rightarrow T_{\text{dom}(u)}$ is a function for each $u \in U$;
2. $\{i \in \theta \mid f_u(i) <_T f_v(i)\}$ is a co-bounded subset of θ whenever $u \sqsubset v$ are in U ;
3. $\{i \in \theta \mid f_u(i) \neq f_v(i)\}$ is a co-bounded subset of θ whenever u, v are distinct elements of $U \cap {}^\alpha\kappa$ for some $\alpha < \kappa$.

Free Together with Ascent Paths

Theorem

Assume $V = L$. Then there exists an \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree U , and an \aleph_0 -free \aleph_2 -Souslin tree that admits a U -indexed $\mathcal{F}_\omega^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path.

Free Together with Ascent Paths

Theorem

Assume $V = L$. Then there exists an \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree U , and an \aleph_0 -free \aleph_2 -Souslin tree that admits a U -indexed $\mathcal{F}_\omega^{\text{bd}}$ -ascent path. In particular, there exists an \aleph_0 -free \aleph_2 -Souslin tree whose ω -reduced power tree is \aleph_2 -Kurepa.