

Pontryagin–van Kampen duality and pcf theory

Boaz Tsaban

Bar Ilan University

Joint work with:

S. Hernández, M.V. Ferrer, C. Chis

YST 2015

Topological groups

Consider **only Abelian** groups $(G, +, 0)$.

Topological group: $x + y, -x$ are continuous.

Examples:

\mathbb{R} with the standard topology;

\mathbb{Z} with the discrete topology;

1-dimensional **torus** $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0, 1)$.

G homogeneous.

\therefore Suffices to study any nbd base at 0.

$\chi(G)$: Minimal cardinality of a nbd base at 0.

Examples: $\chi(\mathbb{R}) = \chi(\mathbb{T}) = \aleph_0$; $\chi(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$.

Birkhoff–Kakutani '36

G is metrizable $\iff \chi(G) \leq \aleph_0$.

The free Abelian group

Markov '45: The free Abelian group $A(X)$:

$$A(X) = \{ n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_kx_k : k \in \mathbb{N}, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, x_i \in X \}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(X) & & \\ \uparrow & \searrow \exists! \tilde{\varphi} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\forall \varphi} & H \end{array}$$

No simple direct definition for its topology. Hard to analyze.

$$\chi(A(X)) = ?$$

Goal: Find $\chi(A(X))$ (“hard”) in terms of X (“easy”).

The character of $A(X)$

$$\chi(G) = \text{cof}(\mathcal{N}_0, \supseteq).$$

$\mathfrak{d} := \text{cof}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq)$. $f \leq g$ means $(\forall n) f(n) \leq g(n)$. $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$.

$\text{weight}(X)$: Minimal cardinality of a base for the topology of X .

H-Ts-F-C '10

Assume \exists cofinal $\{K_n\} \subseteq K(X)$, determining X 's topology (" X is k_ω "). E.g., $X = \bigsqcup_n K_n$. Let $\kappa = \sup_n \text{weight}(K_n)$.

Then $\chi(A(X)) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$.

Example: $\chi(A(\mathbb{R})) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \text{cof}([\aleph_0]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot 1 = \mathfrak{d}$.

Nickolas-Tkachenko '05: Special cases (X compact, mk_{\aleph_0}, \dots).

Pontryagin–van Kampen duality

$$\mathbb{T} = [0, 1) \cong [-1/2, 1/2).$$

\widehat{G} : Continuous homomorphisms $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. AKA characters. (!)

Compact-open topology: $[K, \epsilon] = \{\varphi \in \widehat{G} : |\varphi[K]| \leq \epsilon\}$
($K \in K(X)$) nbd base at 0.

Examples: 1. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{T}$: $1 \mapsto \alpha \implies n \mapsto n\alpha$.

2. $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$: $1 \mapsto \alpha \implies \frac{n}{m} \mapsto \frac{n}{m}\alpha \implies x \mapsto x\alpha$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & & \widehat{G} & & \widehat{\widehat{G}} \\ G \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{E} & & & \widehat{\widehat{G}} \end{array}$$

$$E(g)(\varphi) := \varphi(g)$$

G reflexive: $G \stackrel{E}{\cong} \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Pontryagin '33: Compact/discrete \implies reflexive.

van Kampen '34: Locally compact \implies reflexive.

Subreflexive groups

“Most” groups are **not** locally compact (not even reflexive).

Even $\widehat{\text{metrizable}}$ need not be reflexive.

G is **subreflexive** if $G \cong^E E[G] \leq \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.



Assume \exists cofinal $\{K_n\} \subseteq K(X)$ determining X 's topology.

1. Chasco/Galindo–Hernández '99: $A(X)$ is **subreflexive**.

2. G subreflexive $\implies \chi(G) = \text{cof}(K(\widehat{G}), \subseteq)$:

$$A^\circ := \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : |\chi[A]| \leq 1/4\}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{N}_0(G) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & K(\widehat{G}) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{N}_0(\widehat{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_0(G) \\ U & \longmapsto & K = U^\circ & \longmapsto & K^\circ & \longmapsto & K^\circ \cap G \end{array}$$

3. Pestov '95: $\widehat{A(X)} = C(X, \mathbb{T})$ with compact-open topology.

$$\therefore \chi(A(X)) = \text{cof}(K(\widehat{A(X)}), \subseteq) = \text{cof}(K(C(X, \mathbb{T})), \subseteq).$$

In $C(X, \mathbb{T})$, $[K_n, 1/n]$ a nbd base at 0 \implies **metrizable!**

$C(X, \mathbb{T})$ is **complete**, not locally compact.

5. $C(X, \mathbb{T})$ has (stable) density $\kappa = \sup_n \text{weight}(K_n)$ (direct).

Proof steps (final)

6. $\Gamma := C(X, \mathbb{T})$ is metrizable, complete, not locally compact, stable density κ .

Claim: $\text{cof}(K(\Gamma), \subseteq) = \text{cof}(\text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}, \text{pointwise } \subseteq)$

(\leq) Fix: Closed nbd base U_n at 0; dense $D \subseteq \Gamma$, $|D| = \kappa$.

$$(F_1, F_2, \dots \subseteq D) \mapsto \bigcap_n F_n U_n.$$

Compact. A monotone cofinal map.

(\geq) \exists "grids" $A_n \subseteq U_n$ with $|A_n| \nearrow \text{density}(\Gamma) = \kappa$.

$K(\Gamma) \ni K \mapsto f_K = (K \cap A_1, K \cap A_2, \dots) \in \prod_n \text{Fin}(A_n) \approx \text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Cofinal: $(F_1, F_2, \dots) \in \prod_n \text{Fin}(A_n) \implies K = \bigcup_n F_n \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow 0$, thus compact.

7. $\text{cof}(\text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$. □

What is $\text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$?

$$\chi(A(X)) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq).$$

κ	$\text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$
\aleph_0	1
\aleph_1	\aleph_1
\aleph_2	\aleph_2
\vdots	\vdots
\aleph_n	\aleph_n
\vdots	\vdots
$\aleph_\omega = \sup_n \aleph_n$?

König: $\text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) > \aleph_\omega$.

$\text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$ is the central object of study in Shelah's pcf theory.

$$\aleph_\omega^{\aleph_0} \leq 2^{\aleph_0} + \aleph_{\omega_4}$$

Shelah's Archive

This is the archive of Saharon Shelah's mathematical papers, now located at
<http://shelah.logic.at/>.

$$\aleph_\omega^{\aleph_0} = |[\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}| = \text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) \cdot |[\aleph_0]^{\aleph_0}| = \text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) \cdot 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

Shelah '91: $\text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) < \aleph_{\omega_4}$.

In general, $\text{cof}([\aleph_\alpha]^{|\alpha|}) < \aleph_{\alpha++++}$, $\forall \alpha < \sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$.

Consequences of Shelah's Theorem

$$\text{cof}([\aleph_\alpha]^{\aleph_0}) < \aleph_{\alpha+4}, \quad \forall \alpha < \sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}.$$

For κ with $\aleph_0 < \text{cof}(\kappa) < \kappa < \sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$:

$$\text{cof}([\aleph_\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) = \aleph_\kappa.$$

Example. $\text{cof}([\aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_n}}]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) = \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_n}}.$

Shelah, Gitik: If \nexists “large cardinals” (in the DJ core model):

$$\text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq) = \begin{cases} \kappa & \text{cof}(\kappa) > \aleph_0 \\ \kappa^+ & \text{cof}(\kappa) = \aleph_0 \end{cases}$$

Excursion to worlds with large cardinals

Bonanzinga–Matveev Problem '09:

1. $(\forall \kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}) \text{ cof}(\text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}, \text{pointwise } \subseteq) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \kappa$?
2. $(\forall \kappa \leq \mathfrak{d}) \text{ cof}(\text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}, \text{pointwise } \subseteq) = \mathfrak{d}$?

YES for $\kappa = \aleph_n$ ($\forall n$) and for $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}$.

$\text{cof}(\text{Fin}(\kappa)^{\mathbb{N}}, \subseteq) = \mathfrak{d} \cdot \text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}, \subseteq)$.

\therefore **NO** for (1) if $\mathfrak{d} < \kappa$ and $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \aleph_0$.

Ts '10 (w/large cardinals)

NO for (2): $(\forall 1 \leq \gamma < \aleph_1)$. Consistently,
 $\aleph_\omega < \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} = \aleph_{\omega+1} < \text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}) = \aleph_{\omega+\gamma+1} = \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof:

1. Gitik–Magidor '92 “force” (w/LC) $\text{cof}([\aleph_\omega]^{\aleph_0}) = \aleph_{\omega+\gamma+1}$.
2. Add $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ dominating reals (FSI), get $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} = \aleph_{\omega+1}$.

Exercise: Why does Cohen forcing fail?

When does $\text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0}) = \kappa$ (in ZFC)?

Known ones:

- 1 κ^{\aleph_0} ,
- 2 \aleph_n ,
- 3 \aleph_κ for $\aleph_0 < \text{cof}(\kappa) < \kappa < \min\{\lambda : \aleph_\lambda = \lambda\}$.

Find fixed points of $\text{cof}([\kappa]^{\aleph_0})$ above $\sup\{\aleph_0, \aleph_{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\aleph_{\aleph_0}}, \dots\}$?

Unavoidable problems for the set theorist, 2/2

A_α ($\alpha \in I$): abelian metric groups.

$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$, with each $A_\beta \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ continuous.

Lukacz-Ts ∞

$\kappa := \#$ non-discrete A_α 's. Then $\chi(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha) = \text{cof}(\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq)$.

$$f(\kappa) := \text{cof}(\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq) = \text{cof}(\mathbb{N}^\kappa, \leq^*).$$

f increasing, $\kappa^+ \leq f(\kappa) \leq 2^\kappa$.

When does $f(\kappa) = 2^\kappa$?

Examples: κ^{\aleph_0} .

More examples? Is $\text{cof}(\mathbb{N}^{\aleph_1}, \leq) < 2^{\aleph_1}$ consistent (w/LC)?