

# Template iterations and maximal cofinitary groups

Vera Fischer

Kurt Gödel Research Center  
University of Vienna

Matsue, September 6th, 2013

- ▶  $\text{cofin}(S_\infty)$  is the set of cofinitary permutations in  $S_\infty$ , i.e. permutations  $\sigma \in S_\infty$  which have finitely many fixed points.
- ▶ A mapping  $\rho : A \rightarrow S_\infty$  induces a cofinitary representation of  $\mathbb{F}_A$  if the canonical extension of  $\rho$  to a homomorphism  $\hat{\rho} : \mathbb{F}_A \rightarrow S_\infty$  is such that  $\text{im}(\hat{\rho}) \subseteq \{I\} \cup \text{cofin}(S_\infty)$ .

## Forcing M.c.g.'s

Let  $A, X$  be disjoint non-empty sets and let  $\rho : X \rightarrow S_\infty$  induce a cofinitary representation. Then  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$  is the poset of all  $(s, F)$  where  $s \subseteq A \times \omega \times \omega$  is finite,  $s_a$  is a finite injection for all  $a$  and  $F \subseteq \widehat{W}_{A \cup X}$  is finite. Define  $(s, F) \leq_{\mathbb{P}_{A,\rho}} (t, E)$  iff

- ▶  $s \supseteq t$ ,  $F \supseteq E$  and,
- ▶ for all  $n \in \omega$  and  $w \in E$ , if  $e_w[s, \rho](n) = n$  then already  $e_w[t, \rho](n) \downarrow$  and  $e_w[t, \rho](n) = n$ .

If  $X = \emptyset$  then we write  $\mathbb{Q}_A$  for  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$ . If  $A$  is clear from the context we just write  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- ▶  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$  is Knaster.
- ▶ Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$  generic and let  $\rho_G : A \cup X \rightarrow S_\infty$  be a mapping extending  $\rho$  and such that for all  $a \in A$

$$\rho_G(a) = \bigcup \{s_a : (\exists F \in \widehat{W}_{A \cup X}) (s, F) \in G\}.$$

Then  $\rho_G$  induces a cofinitary representation of  $A \cup X$  extending  $\rho$ .

## Lemma: Complete Embeddings

Let  $A_0 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $A = A_0 \cup A_1$  and let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$ -generic. Then

- ▶  $\mathbb{Q}_{A_0,\rho}$  is a complete suborder of  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$ ,
- ▶  $H = G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{A_0,\rho}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_{A_0,\rho}$ -generic,  $K = \{(s \upharpoonright A_1, F) : (s, F) \in G\}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_{A_1,\rho_H}$ -generic over  $V[H]$  and  $\rho_G = (\rho_H)K$ .

## Theorem

Let  $|A| > \aleph_0$  and  $G$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$ -generic over  $V$ . Then  $\text{im}(\rho_G)$  is a maximal cofinitary group in  $V[G]$ .

## Proof

Let  $z \notin X \cup A$ , where  $\rho : X \rightarrow S_\infty$ . Suppose there in  $V[G]$  there is  $\sigma \in \text{cofin}(S_\infty)$  such that  $\rho'_G : A \cup X \cup \{z\} \rightarrow S_\infty$  defined by  $\rho'_G \upharpoonright X \cup A = \rho_G$ ,  $\rho'_G(z) = \sigma$  induces a cofinitary representation. Let  $\dot{\sigma}$  be a name for  $\sigma$ . Then there is  $A_0 \subseteq A$  countable so that  $\dot{\sigma}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_{A_0,\rho}$ -name and so  $\sigma \in V[H]$ , where  $H = G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{A_0,\rho}$ .

Let  $a_1 \in A \setminus A_0$  and let  $K$  be defined as in the previous Lemma.  
Note that for every  $N \in \omega$

$$D_{\sigma, N} = \{(s, F) \in \mathbb{Q}_{A_1, \rho_H} : (\exists n \geq N) s_{a_1}(n) = \sigma(n)\}$$

is dense in  $\mathbb{Q}_{A_1, \rho_H}$  and so in  $V[H][K]$

$$\exists^\infty n ((\rho_H)_K(a_1)(n) = \sigma(n)).$$

However  $(\rho_H)_K = \rho_G$ , which contradicts that  $\rho'_G$  induces a cofinitary representation. □

## Lemma: Strong Embedding

Let  $B, C \subseteq D$ ,  $B \cap C = A$  be given set and  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_{B,\rho}$ . Then there is a condition  $p_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$  such that whenever  $q_0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}_{C,\rho}} p_0$ , then  $q_0$  is compatible in  $\mathbb{Q}_{D,\rho}$  with  $p$ .

- ▶ We say that  $\mathbb{Q}_{B,\rho}$  has the strong embedding property and  $q_0$  is called a strong reduction of  $p$ .
- ▶ If  $C = A$ ,  $B = D$  then the above gives in particular that  $\mathbb{Q}_{A,\rho}$  is a complete suborder of  $\mathbb{Q}_{B,\rho}$ .



## Definition: $\mathbb{L}$

$\mathbb{L}$  consists of pairs  $(\sigma, \phi)$  such that  $\sigma \in {}^{<\omega}({}^{<\omega}[\omega])$ ,  $\phi \in {}^\omega({}^{<\omega}[\omega])$  such that  $\sigma \subseteq \phi$ ,  $\forall i < |\sigma| (|\sigma(i)| = i)$  and  $\forall i \in \omega (|\phi(i)| \leq |\sigma|)$ .

The extension relation is defined as follows:  $(\sigma, \phi) \leq (\tau, \psi)$  if and only if  $\sigma$  end-extends  $\tau$  and  $\forall i \in \omega (\psi(i) \subseteq \phi(i))$ .

- ▶ A slalom is a function  $\phi : \omega \rightarrow [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $\forall n \in \omega (|\phi(n)| \leq n)$ . A slalom localizes a real  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$  if there is  $m \in \omega$  such that  $\forall n \geq m (f(n) \in \phi(n))$ .
- ▶  $\mathbb{L}$  adds a slalom which localizes all ground model reals.

- ▶  $\text{add}(\mathcal{N})$  is the least cardinality of a family  $F \subseteq \omega^\omega$  such that no slalom localizes all members of  $F$
- ▶  $\text{cof}(\mathcal{N})$  is the least cardinality of a family  $\Phi$  of slaloms such that every real is localized by some  $\phi \in \Phi$ .
- ▶  $\mathfrak{a}_g \geq \text{non}(\mathcal{M})$ .

In our intended forcing construction cofinally often we will force with the partial order  $\mathbb{L}$ , which using the above characterization will provide a lower bound for  $\mathfrak{a}_g$ .

### Definition: $\sigma$ -Suslin

Let  $(\mathbb{S}, \leq_{\mathbb{S}})$  be a Suslin forcing notion, whose conditions can be written in the form  $(s, f)$  where  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and  $f \in {}^{\omega}\omega$ . We will say that  $\mathbb{S}$  is *n-Suslin* if whenever  $(s, f) \leq_{\mathbb{S}} (t, g)$  and  $(t, h)$  is a condition in  $\mathbb{S}$  such that

$$h \upharpoonright n \cdot |s| = g \upharpoonright n \cdot |s|$$

then  $(s, f)$  and  $(t, h)$  are compatible. A forcing notion is called  *$\sigma$ -Suslin*, if it is *n-Suslin* for some  $n$ .

- ▶ If  $\mathbb{S}$  is  $n$ -Suslin and  $m \geq n$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is also  $m$ -Suslin.
- ▶ Every  $\sigma$ -Suslin forcing notion is  $\sigma$ -linked and so has the Knaster property.
- ▶ Hechler forcing  $\mathbb{H}$  is 1-Suslin, localization  $\mathbb{L}$  is 2-Suslin.

### Definition: Nice name for a real

Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a partial order and  $y \in \mathbb{B}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be a maximal antichain below  $y$ . We will say that the set  $\{(b, s(b))\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n, n \geq 1}$  is a nice name for a real below  $y$  if

1. whenever  $n \geq 1$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$  then  $s(b) \in {}^n\omega$
2. whenever  $m > n \geq 1$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $b' \in \mathcal{B}_m$  and  $b, b'$  are compatible, then  $s(b)$  is an initial segment of  $s(b')$ .

We can assume that all names for reals are nice and abusing notation we will write  $\dot{f} = \{(b, s(b))\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n, n \in \omega}$ .

## Lemma: Canonical Projection of a name for a real

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a complete suborder of  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $x$  a reduction of  $y$  to  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\dot{f} = \{(b, s(b))\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n, n \geq 1}$  be a nice name for a real below  $y$ . Then there is  $\dot{g} = \{(a, s(a))\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_n, n \geq 1}$ , a  $\mathbb{A}$ -nice name for a real below  $x$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , there is  $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$  such that  $a$  is a reduction of  $b$  and  $s(a) = s(b)$ .

Whenever  $\dot{f}, \dot{g}$  are as above, we will say that  $\dot{g}$  is a canonical projection of  $\dot{f}$  below  $x$ .

## Definition: Good Suslin

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a Suslin forcing notion, whose conditions can be written in the form  $(s, f)$  where  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,  $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ . Then  $\mathbb{S}$  is said to be *good* if whenever  $\mathbb{A}$  is a complete suborder of  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{A}$  is a reduction of  $y \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $\dot{f}$  is a nice name for a real below  $y$  such that  $y \Vdash_{\mathbb{B}} (\check{s}, \dot{f}) \in \dot{\mathbb{S}}$  for some  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ , there is a canonical projection  $\dot{g}$  of  $\dot{f}$  below  $x$  such that  $x \Vdash (\check{s}, \dot{g}) \in \dot{\mathbb{S}}$ .

$\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{L}$  are good  $\sigma$ -Suslin forcing notions.



- ▶ Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a linearly ordered set,  $x \in L$ . Then  $L_x := \{y \in L : y < x\}$ .
- ▶ If  $L_0 \subseteq L$  and  $A \subseteq L$ , then the  $L_0$ -closure of  $A$ ,  $\text{cl}_{L_0}(A)$ , is the smallest set  $B \supseteq A$  such that if  $x \in B$  then  $L_x \cap L_0 \subseteq B$ .

## Definition: Template

A *template* is a tuple  $\mathcal{T} = ((L, \leq), \mathcal{I}, L_0, L_1)$  where  $L = L_0 \cup L_1$ ,  $L_0 \cap L_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $(L, \leq)$  is a linear order,  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(L)$ , such that

- ▶  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed under finite intersections and unions,  $\emptyset, L \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- ▶ If  $x, y \in L$ ,  $y \in L_1$  and  $x < y$  then  $\exists A \in \mathcal{I} (A \subseteq L_y \wedge x \in A)$ .
- ▶ If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $x \in L_1 \setminus A$ , then  $A \cap L_x \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- ▶  $\{A \cap L_1 : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is well-founded when ordered by inclusion.
- ▶ All  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  are  $L_0$ -closed.

- ▶ Define  $\text{Dp} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{ON}$  by letting  $\text{Dp}(A) = 0$  for  $A \subseteq L_0$  and

$$\text{Dp}(A) = \sup\{\text{Dp}(B) + 1 : B \in \mathcal{I} \wedge B \cap L_1 \subset A \cap L_1\}.$$

Let  $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Dp}(L)$ .

- ▶ For  $A \subseteq L$  let

$$\mathcal{T}_A = ((A, \leq), \mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A, L_0 \cap A, L_1 \cap A),$$

where  $\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A = \{A \cap B : B \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{T}_A) = \text{Dp}(A)$ .

- ▶ For  $x \in L$  let  $\mathcal{I}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{I} : B \subseteq L_x\}$ .

## Definition: Iterating good $\sigma$ -Suslin posets along a template and adding m.c.g.

Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}$  the poset adding a m.c.g. with  $L_0$ -generators,  $\mathbb{S}$  good  $\sigma$ -Suslin.  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$  is defined recursively:

If  $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$  be defined for all templates of rank  $< \kappa$ . Let  $\text{Rk}(\mathcal{T}) = \kappa$  and for all  $B \in \mathcal{I}(\text{Dp}(B) < \kappa)$  let  $\mathbb{P}_B = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_B, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$ . Then

- ▶  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$  consists of all  $P = (p, F^P)$  where  $p$  is a finite partial function with  $\text{dom}(p) \subseteq L$ ,  $(p \upharpoonright L_0, F^P) \in \mathbb{Q}$  and if  $x_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{\text{dom}(p) \cap L_1\}$  is defined then  $\exists B \in \mathcal{I}_{x_p}$  such that  $P \upharpoonright L_{x_p} = (p \upharpoonright L_{x_p}, F^P) \in \mathbb{P}_B$ ,  $p(x_p) = (\check{s}_x^P, \dot{f}_x^P)$ , where  $s_x^P \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,  $\dot{f}_x^P$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_B$  name for a real and  $(P \upharpoonright L_{x_p}, p(x_p)) \in \mathbb{P}_B * \dot{\mathbb{S}}$ .

Define  $Q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} P$  iff  $\text{dom}(p) \subseteq \text{dom}(q)$ ,  $(q \upharpoonright L_0, F^q) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} (p \upharpoonright L_0, F^p)$ ,  
 and if  $x_p$  is defined then either

- ▶  $x_p < x_q$  and  $\exists B \in \mathcal{I}_{x_q}$  such that  $P \upharpoonright L_{x_q}, Q \upharpoonright L_{x_q} \in \mathbb{P}_B$  and  $Q \upharpoonright L_{x_q} \leq_{\mathbb{P}_B} P \upharpoonright L_{x_q}$ , or
- ▶  $x_p = x_q$  and  $\exists B \in \mathcal{I}_{x_q}$  witnessing  $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}$ , and such that

$$(Q \upharpoonright L_{x_q}, q(x_q)) \leq_{\mathbb{P}_B * \dot{\mathbb{S}}} (P \upharpoonright L_{x_p}, p(x_p)).$$

## Completeness of Embeddings Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{T} = ((L, \leq), \mathcal{I}, L_0, L_1)$ , let  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}$  be the poset for adding m.c.g. with  $L_0$ -generators,  $\mathbb{S}$  be good  $\sigma$ -Suslin.

Let  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $A \subset B$  be closed. Then  $\mathbb{P}_B$  is a poset,  $\mathbb{P}_A \subset \mathbb{P}_B$ , every  $P = (p, F^P) \in \mathbb{P}_B$  has a canonical reduction  $P_0 = (p_0, F^{P_0}) \in \mathbb{P}_A$  such that

- ▶  $\text{dom}(p_0) = \text{dom}(p) \cap A$ ,  $F^{P_0} = F^P$ ,
- ▶  $s_x^{P_0} = s_x^P$  for all  $x \in \text{dom}(p_0) \cap L_1$
- ▶  $(p_0 \upharpoonright L_0, F^{P_0})$  is a strong  $\mathbb{Q}_A$ -reduction of  $(p \upharpoonright L_0, F^P)$

and whenever  $D \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $B, C \subseteq D$ ,  $C$  is closed,  $C \cap B = A$  and  $Q_0 \leq_{\mathbb{P}_C} P_0$ , then  $Q_0$  and  $P$  are compatible in  $\mathbb{P}_D$ .

If  $A = C$ ,  $D = B$  then  $\mathbb{P}_A$  is a complete suborder of  $\mathbb{P}_B$ .

## Lemma

- ▶  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$  is Knaster.
- ▶ Let  $x \in L_1$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{I}_x$ . Then the two-step iteration  $\mathbb{P}_A * \mathbb{S}$  completely embeds into  $\mathbb{P}$ .
- ▶ For any  $p \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{S})$  there is countable  $A \subseteq L$  such that  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{cl}(A)}$ . If  $\tau$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name for a real then there is a countable  $A \subseteq L$  such that  $\tau$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{cl}(A)}$ -name.



## Lemma

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}, \mathbb{L})$  and let  $\lambda_0$  be a regular uncountable cardinal such that  $\lambda_0 \subseteq L_1$  (as an order),  $\lambda_0$  is cofinal in  $L$ , and  $L_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda_0$ . Then in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ ,  $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}) = \lambda_0$  and so  $\mathfrak{a}_g \geq \lambda_0$ .

## Proof

Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic and let  $\phi_\alpha$  be the slalom added in coordinate  $\alpha < \lambda_0$ . Since  $\lambda_0$  is regular, uncountable and is cofinal in  $L$ , the family  $\langle \phi_\alpha : \alpha < \mu \rangle$  localizes all reals  $V[G]$  (indeed any real must appear in some  $V[G \cap \mathbb{P}_{L_\alpha}]$  for some  $\alpha < \lambda_0$ .) Thus  $\text{cof}(\mathcal{N}) \leq \lambda_0$ . On the other hand, if  $F \subseteq \omega^\omega$  is a family of size  $< \lambda_0$  in  $V[G]$ , then there must be some  $\alpha < \lambda_0$  such that all reals of  $F$  already are in  $V[G \cap \mathbb{P}_{L_\alpha}]$ , and so  $\phi_\alpha$  localizes all reals in  $F$ . Thus  $\text{add}(\mathcal{N}) \geq \lambda_0$ . Therefore  $\text{non}(M) = \lambda_0$  and so  $\mathfrak{a}_g \geq \mu$ . □

## Lemma

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}, \mathbb{L})$ ,  $L$  of uncountable cofinality,  $L_0$  cofinal in  $L$ .  
Then  $\mathbb{P}$  adds a maximal cofinitary group of size  $|L_0|$ .

Assume  $CH$ . Let  $\lambda = \bigcup_n \lambda_n$ , where  $\lambda_n$  is a regular cardinal,  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  increasing and  $\lambda_0 \geq \aleph_2$ . Consider a template  $\mathcal{T} = (L, \mathcal{I})$  such that

- ▶  $\lambda_0 \subseteq L_1$ ,  $\lambda_0$  is cofinal in  $L$ ,  $L_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda_0$ .
- ▶  $L$  has uncountable cofinality,  $L_0$  is cofinal in  $L$ .

Then in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$  for  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{Q}_{L_0}, \mathbb{L})$

- ▶  $\lambda_0 = \text{non}(\mathcal{M})$ , and so  $\lambda_0 \leq \mathfrak{a}_g$
- ▶ there is a mcg of size  $\lambda$  and so  $\mathfrak{a}_g \leq \lambda$ .

An isomorphism of names argument provides that in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$  there are no mcg of size  $< \lambda$  and so  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \mathfrak{a}_g = \lambda$ .

## Theorem (V.F., A. Törnquist)

*It is consistent with the usual axioms of set theory that the minimal size of a maximal cofinitary group is of countable cofinality.*

Thank you!