

# Perfect subsets of generalized Baire spaces and Banach-Mazur games

Philipp Schlicht, Universität Bonn

Descriptive set theory in Paris, December 11, 2012

## 1 Perfect subsets

- Setting
- Factoring generics
- Perfect set property
- Global version

## 1 Perfect subsets

- Setting
- Factoring generics
- Perfect set property
- Global version

## 2 Banach-Mazur games

- Baire property
- Banach-Mazur games

## 1 Perfect subsets

- Setting
- Factoring generics
- Perfect set property
- Global version

## 2 Banach-Mazur games

- Baire property
- Banach-Mazur games

## 3 Applications

- Ramsey properties
- Graphs and equivalence relations
- Generalized Choquet spaces

# Setting

Let  $\kappa$  always denote an uncountable regular cardinal with  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ .

## Definition

We consider the generalized Baire space  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  of functions  $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  with basic open sets  $U_s = \{f \in {}^{\kappa}\kappa \mid s \subseteq f\}$  for  $s \in {}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ .

## Definition

A  $\Sigma_n^1({}^{\kappa}\kappa)$ -formula is of the form  $\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \exists x_n \varphi$ , where  $\exists x_i$  and  $\forall x_i$  range over  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  and quantifiers in  $\varphi$  range over  $\kappa$ .

A  $\Sigma_n^1({}^{\kappa}\kappa)$  subset of  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  is defined by a  $\Sigma_n^1({}^{\kappa}\kappa)$ -formula with parameters in  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ .

The study of the descriptive set theory of these spaces was initiated by Mekler and Väänänen.

# Perfect trees and sets

## Definition

A subtree  $T \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}\kappa$  is  $(\kappa)$ -perfect if it is  $<\kappa$ -closed and its splitting nodes are cofinal in  $T$ .

A subset  $A \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$  is  $(\kappa)$ -perfect if  $A = [T]$  for some  $(\kappa)$ -perfect tree  $T$ .

## Definition

Let  $PSP_{od}^{\kappa}$  denote the perfect set property for subsets of  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$ , i.e. the condition that every such set either has size  $\leq \kappa$  or has a perfect subset.

## Lemma

*Trees of height  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  with levels of size  $< \kappa$  don't have perfect subtrees. In particular if  $T$  is a  $\kappa$ -Kurepa tree, then  $[T]$  is a closed counterexample to  $PSP_{od}^{\kappa}$ .*

## Lemma (Silver)

*If  $\lambda > \kappa$  is inaccessible, then  $Col(\kappa, < \lambda)$  forces that there are no  $\kappa$ -Kurepa trees.*

# Solovay's Theorem

## Theorem (Solovay)

*Suppose  $V$  is a  $\text{Col}(\omega, < \lambda)$ -extension where  $\lambda$  is inaccessible in the ground model. Then every subset of  ${}^\omega\omega$  definable from ordinals and reals has the perfect set property, the property of Baire, and is Lebesgue measurable.*

## Definition

Suppose  $V[G]$  is a generic extension of  $V$  by a  $< \kappa$ -closed forcing and  $x \in V[G]$ . Then  $x$  factors in  $(V, V[G])$  if  $V[G]$  is a generic extension of  $V[x]$  by a  $< \kappa$ -closed forcing.

## Lemma (Solovay)

*If  $G$  is  $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ -generic over  $V$ , then  $V[G]$  is an  $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ -generic extension of  $V[x]$  for every  $x \in V[G]$  with  $V[x] \neq V[G]$ . So  $x$  factors in  $(V, V[G])$ .*

This is used in the proof of Solovay's Theorem but fails for  $\kappa \geq \omega_1$ .

# Factoring

## Example

Suppose  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa \geq \omega_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}$  consist of pairs  $(p, q) \in (\alpha 2)^2$  with  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $s_q$  is closed and  $s_q \cap s_p = \emptyset$  for the sets  $s_p, s_q \subseteq \kappa$  with characteristic functions  $p, q$ , ordered by coordinatewise end extension.

## Remark

*The forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ . It factors as  $\mathbb{Q} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  adds a Cohen subset of  $\kappa$ , which is stationary, and  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  shoots a club through its complement.*

*Since  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$  is  $< \kappa$ -closed and hence  $\Sigma_1^1(\kappa, \kappa)$ -absolute, it does not destroy the stationarity of subsets of  $\kappa$ . So  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  is not  $< \kappa$ -closed and not equivalent to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ .*



# Perfect subsets

## Question (Väänänen)

*Is the perfect set property for definable subsets of  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  consistent?*

## Theorem

*Suppose  $\kappa \geq \omega_1$  is regular and  $\lambda > \kappa$  is inaccessible. Then  $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$  forces  $\text{PSP}_{od}^{\kappa}$ .*

## Proof sketch

We add a perfect tree all of whose branches are factoring Cohen subsets of  $\kappa$ .

Let  $|s| = \text{dom}(s)$  for  $s \in {}^{<\kappa}2$  and  $|t| = \sup_{s \in t} |s|$  for  $t \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}2$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  denote the forcing consisting of conditions  $(t, s)$  where

- $t \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}2$  is a  $< \kappa$ -closed tree,
- $s \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}2$  with
  - $s \cap t = \emptyset$ ,
  - every node in  $s$  is of the form  $r \cap i$  with  $r \cap (1 - i) \in t$ ,
- $t$  is the closure of a tree of size  $< \kappa$ .
- $|s| < \kappa$ .

The conditions are ordered by coordinatewise reverse inclusion.

$\mathbb{P}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ , since it is  $< \kappa$ -closed and  $|\mathbb{P}| = \kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ .

$\mathbb{P}$  adds a perfect set of mutually generic factoring Cohen subsets of  $\kappa$ .

Suppose  $G$  is  $Col(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic over  $V$ . Suppose  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$  is defined in  $V[G]$  from parameters in  $V$ .

Since  $|A| \geq \kappa^+$  in  $V[G]$ , there is  $\delta < \lambda$  so that  $G_{\delta+1} = G \upharpoonright (\delta + 1)$  adds a new element to  $A$ .

We write  $V[G] = V[G_{\delta+1}][g][h][i]$ , where  $g, h, i$  are mutually generic for  $Col(\kappa, < \delta + 1)$ ,  $Col(\kappa, < \lambda)$ ,  $Add(\kappa, 1)$  over  $V[G_{\delta+1}]$ .

Then  $g$  adds a new element  $x$  to  $A$  over  $V$  and  $x \notin V[G_{\delta+1}][h]$ .

Note that  $Col(\kappa, < \delta + 1)$  is equivalent to  $Add(\kappa, 1)$  in  $V[G_{\delta+1}][h]$ . Let  $\dot{x}$  be a name in  $V[G_{\delta+1}][h]$  for a new element of  $A$ .

We add a perfect set of mutually generic factoring subsets of  $\kappa$  over  $V[G_{\delta+1}][h]$ . The image under  $\dot{x}$  is a perfect subset of  $A$ .

# Perfect set games

## Definition

In the perfect set game of length  $\kappa$  for a subset  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ , two players play a strictly increasing sequence  $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  in  ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ . Player I plays at all even stages. Player II extends the sequence by a single ordinal in each move. Player I wins if

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} s_\alpha \in A.$$

## Lemma (Kovachev)

*A subset  $A$  of  ${}^\kappa\kappa$  has a perfect subset if and only if player I has a winning strategy in the perfect set game for  $A$ . Also  $|A| \leq \kappa$  if and only if player II has a winning strategy.*

## Corollary

*In  $V[G]$ , the perfect set game of length  $\kappa$  is determined for all sets definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$ .*

## Global version

### Theorem (Global PSP)

*Suppose there is a proper class of inaccessible cardinals. Then there is a class forcing extension in which  $PSP_{od}^\kappa$  holds for all infinite regular cardinals.*

### Corollary

*The consistency strength of  $PSP_{od}^\kappa$  at some regular cardinal  $\kappa$  is that of an inaccessible cardinal. The consistency strength of  $PSP_{od}^\kappa$  at all regular cardinals is that of a proper class of inaccessible cardinals.*

### Remark (Ikegami-S. - Failure of effective PSP)

*In  $L^{Col(\omega_1, < \lambda)}$  for  $\lambda$  inaccessible, the set of non-stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$  which are stationary in an intermediate extension is definable without parameters, but does not have a perfect subset coded in  $L$ .*

# Perfect subsets from maximality principles

## Definition

Suppose  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$  is defined from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$ .  $A$  is *necessarily*  $\geq \kappa^+$  if  $|A| \geq \kappa^+$  in every  $< \kappa$ -closed forcing extension.

## Lemma

If  $A$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$  and necessarily  $\geq \kappa^+$ , then  $A$  has a perfect subset.

This fails for  $\Pi_1^1$  subsets of  ${}^\kappa \kappa$  in  $L$ .

## Definition (Maximality principles)

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a class of forcings. The *maximality principle*  $MP_{\Gamma}(H_{\mu})$  is the assertion that for every statement  $\varphi$  with parameters in  $H_{\mu}$ , if there is an extension  $V[G]$  by a forcing in  $\Gamma$  so that  $\varphi$  holds in  $V[G]$  and in all further extensions by forcings in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\varphi$  holds in  $V$ .

## Remark

Let  $\Gamma_{col}^{\kappa}$  denote the class of forcings  $Col(\kappa, < \nu)$  for regular  $\nu$  closed under the function  $f(\alpha) = \alpha^{<\kappa}$ . It follows from a proof of Fuchs that if  $\kappa < \delta$  are regular and  $V_{\delta} < V$ , then  $Col(\kappa, < \delta)$  forces  $MP_{\Gamma_{col}^{\kappa}}(H_{\kappa+})$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $\kappa > \omega$  is regular. Then  $MP_{\Gamma_{col}^{\kappa}}$  implies the perfect set property for all subsets of  ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$  definable from subsets of  ${}^{col}_{\kappa}$ .

## 1 Perfect subsets

- Setting
- Factoring generics
- Perfect set property
- Global version

## 2 Banach-Mazur games

- Baire property
- Banach-Mazur games

## 3 Applications

- Ramsey properties
- Graphs and equivalence relations
- Generalized Choquet spaces



# Baire property

## Definition

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space.  $A \subseteq X$  is  $(\kappa)$ -meager if it is a union of  $\kappa$  nowhere dense sets. A set has the  $(\kappa)$ -Baire property if there is an open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $A \Delta U$  is  $\kappa$ -meager.

## Example (Halko-Shelah)

The club filter on  $\kappa$  does not have the property of Baire and is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

# Banach-Mazur games

## Definition

In the Banach-Mazur game of length  $\kappa$  for a set  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ , two players play a strictly increasing sequence  $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  in  ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ . Player I plays at all even stages. Player II wins if  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} s_\alpha \in A$ .

## Lemma (Kovachev)

*A subset  $A$  of  ${}^\kappa\kappa$  is co-meager if and only if the second player has a winning strategy for  $A$  in the Banach-Mazur game.*

## Theorem

*Suppose  $\kappa$  is regular and  $\lambda > \kappa$  is inaccessible. Let  $G$  be  $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic over  $V$ . Suppose  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$  is definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$  in  $V[G]$ . Then in  $V[G]$ , the Banach-Mazur game for  $A$  is determined.*

## Proof sketch

Conditions  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  consist of strictly increasing sequences in  ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$  such that

- $p$  is closed under initial segments,
- $|p| < \kappa$ ,
- if  $s, t \in p$ ,  $\text{dom}(s) = \text{dom}(t) = \gamma + 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Lim}$ , and  $s \upharpoonright \gamma = t \upharpoonright \gamma$ , then  $s(\gamma) = t(\gamma)$ .

The conditions are ordered by reverse inclusion.

If  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $V$ , let  $S = \{b \in {}^\kappa\kappa \mid \exists f \in {}^\kappa({}^{<\kappa}\kappa) \forall \alpha < \kappa \bigcup (f \upharpoonright \alpha) \in \bigcup G \text{ and } b = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} f(\alpha)\}$ .

Then all  $b \in S$  factor in  $(V, V[G])$ .

Player I has a winning strategy for  $S$  in the Banach-Mazur game.

If  $A$  is meager, then the player II has a strategy to play outside of  $A$  in the Banach-Mazur game.

Otherwise we write  $V[G] = V[g][h][j]$ , where  $g, h, j$  are mutually generic for  $Col(\kappa, < \lambda)$ ,  $Add(\kappa, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^h$ , and the parameters are in  $V[g]$ . We can choose  $h$  so that  $h \in A$ .

Then  $i \in A$  for every  $Add(\kappa, 1)$ -generic  $i$  over  $V[g]$  whose quotient is equivalent to  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}^i$ . If  $\mathbb{Q}^{g \times i}$  is  $Add(\kappa, 1)$ , then the player I has a winning strategy for  $S \subseteq A$ . Otherwise use a variant of the forcing.

# Failure of Banach-Mazur determinacy

## Remark

*Suppose there is a wellorder of  ${}^\kappa\kappa$  definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$ . Then there is a set  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$  definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$  for which the Bernstein property fails, i.e. neither  $A$  nor  ${}^\kappa\kappa \setminus A$  have a perfect subset.*

This works by the usual construction along a well-order of the perfect trees. In  $L$  we obtain a  $\Sigma_1^1({}^\kappa\kappa)$  counterexample.

Then the first player does not have a winning strategy for  $A$  or for  ${}^\kappa\kappa \setminus A$  in the Banach-Mazur game.

## Problem

*Is there a family of  $\kappa^+$  disjoint subsets of  ${}^\kappa\kappa$  so that the first player has a winning strategy for each set in the Banach-Mazur game?*

## 1 Perfect subsets

- Setting
- Factoring generics
- Perfect set property
- Global version

## 2 Banach-Mazur games

- Baire property
- Banach-Mazur games

## 3 Applications

- Ramsey properties
- Graphs and equivalence relations
- Generalized Choquet spaces

# Ramsey properties

## Lemma

*In  $V[G]$  every function  $f: {}^\kappa\kappa \rightarrow {}^\kappa\kappa$  definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$  is continuous on a set  $A \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$  such that the first player has a winning strategy in the Banach-Mazur game for  $A$ .*

## Problem

*Are there other (consistent) canonization theorems for definable subsets of  ${}^\kappa\kappa$ ?*

## Graphs and equivalence relations

Suppose  $S$  is a dense subset of  ${}^{<\kappa}2$ . Let  $G_0^S$  denote the set of pairs  $(s \cap 0 \cap x, s \cap 1 \cap x)$  with  $s \in S$  and  $x \in {}^\kappa 2$ .

### Lemma

*There is no coloring of  $G_0^S$  in  $\leq \kappa$  colors definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$  in  $V[G]$ .*

Let  $E_0$  denote equality up to bounded error on  ${}^\kappa 2$ .

### Lemma

*There is no reduction  $f$  of  $E_0$  to equality on  ${}^\kappa 2$  definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$  in  $V[G]$ .*

### Problem

*Do these results follow from Banach-Mazur determinacy?*



## Generalized Choquet spaces

Choquet spaces were introduced to prove completeness of subsets of function spaces.

### Definition

Suppose that  $X$  is a normal space without isolated points and that  $X$  has a basis of size  $\leq \kappa$ . The *strong  $\kappa$ -Choquet game* in  $X$  is played by two players I (empty) and II (nonempty).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{I} & U_0, x_0 & & U_1, x_1 & & \dots & U_\lambda, x_\lambda & & \dots \\ \text{II} & & V_0 & & V_1 & & & & V_\lambda & & \dots \end{array}$$

In the top half of each inning, I plays  $U_\alpha, x_\alpha$  such that  $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$  and  $U_\alpha$  is relatively open in  $\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$ . In the bottom half of each inning, II responds with  $V_\alpha$  such that  $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$  and  $V_\alpha$  is relatively open in  $U_\alpha$ .

We say that II *wins the play* if (i) player I has a valid play at each limit stage, and (ii)  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ .

### Definition

A space is called (strong)  $\kappa$ -Choquet if player II has a winning strategy in the (strong)  $\kappa$ -Choquet game.

## Theorem (Coskey-S.)

*If  $X$  is a normal strong  $\kappa$ -Choquet space with a dense subset of size  $\leq \kappa$ , then there is a  $< \kappa$ -closed tree  $T \subseteq {}^{<\kappa}\kappa$  without end nodes and a continuous bijection  $f: [T] \rightarrow X$ .*

## Corollary

*Suppose an inaccessible cardinal  $\lambda > \kappa$  is Levy-collapsed to  $\kappa^+$ . Suppose  $A$  is a subset of a strong  $\kappa$ -Choquet space  $X$  as above and  $X, A$  are definable from ordinals and subsets of  $\kappa$ . Then either  $|A| \leq \kappa$  or there is a continuous injection  $f: {}^\kappa 2 \rightarrow A$ .*