

On isomorphism of κ -dense sets of reals and related problems

Denis I. Saveliev

23 April 2018, Eilat

*Set Theory, Model Theory and Applications
in memoriam Mati Rubin*

Theorem (Cantor, 1895).

1. *All countable linearly ordered dense sets with no end-points are isomorphic.*
2. *Any linearly ordered dense set is \aleph_0 -universal.*

Here: a linearly ordered set X is κ -universal iff any linearly ordered set of size $\leq \kappa$ can be embedded into X .

Thus \mathbb{Q} , the set of rationals with their usual order, is \aleph_0 -universal, and is a unique (up to isomorphism) countable dense set with no end-points.

Hausdorff generalized these results from \aleph_0 to all cardinals \aleph_α .

A linearly ordered set X is called an η_α -set iff for every $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_{\aleph_\alpha}(X)$ with $A < B$ (for all elements) there exists $x \in X$ with $A < x < B$.

Facts. Let X be a linearly ordered set.

1. X is an η_0 -set iff X is dense with no end-points.
2. If X is an η_α -set, then $|X| \geq \aleph_\alpha$ and X has no end-points.

Theorem (Hausdorff, 1907).

1. Any η_α -set is \aleph_α -universal.
2. If $2^{<\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_\alpha = \text{cf } \aleph_\alpha$, then the set $(2^{<\omega_\alpha}, <_{\text{lex}})$ is an η_α -set of size \aleph_α .
3. All η_α -sets of size \aleph_α are isomorphic.

Here:

$X^{<\alpha}$ denotes the set $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta$,

$\kappa^{<\lambda}$ denotes the cardinal $\sum_{\mu < \lambda} \kappa^\mu$.

Remark (Mendelson, 1958). The set $(2^{<\kappa}, <_{\text{lex}})$ is κ -universal.

The η_α -sets are prototypical instances of saturated and universal models; and saturated models are universal.

X is κ -saturated iff for all $A \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(X)$, X realizes all complete types over A consistent with the theory of X with constants in A .

Theorem. *Let X be a linearly ordered dense set without end-points. Then X is an η_α -set iff X is \aleph_α -saturated.*

Cantor's theorem can be generalized in another direction:

Theorem (Baumgartner, 1971). *It is consistent w.r.t. ZFC that all \aleph_1 -dense sets of reals are isomorphic.*

Here: a linearly ordered set X is κ -dense iff it has no end-points and any its non-trivial interval has size κ . In particular, $|X| = \kappa$.

Let BA denote the conclusion of Baumgartner's theorem.

Idea of proof: Under CH, for \aleph_1 -dense $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ there is a c.c.c. forcing making A and B isomorphic. Then iterate.

Starting from $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ and $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$, it goes to $\text{BA} + (2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2)$.

Facts. Under BA, we have:

1. $2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1}$.
2. All sets of reals of size $< \aleph_2$ are universally measure zero sets and universally meager.

Here: X is a *universally measure zero* (*universally meager*) set iff any Borel isomorphic image of X has Lebesgue measure zero (is meager).

Proof:

1. There exist: 2^{\aleph_1} sets of reals that are \aleph_1 -dense and 2^{\aleph_0} order isomorphisms of the real line.
2. There is such a set of real of size \aleph_1 (Sierpiński, 1934).

Baumgartner posed two questions. One of them:
Does MA imply BA?

Avraham, Shelah, 1981: No. Some new methods and other results, e.g., consistency of “Any function of \mathbb{R} into itself is monotone on an uncountable set”.

Theorem (Abraham, Rubin, Shelah, 1985). *For any finite poset L , TFAE:*

(i) *MA + “the set of order types of \aleph_1 -dense homogeneous sets of reals with the relation of embeddability is isomorphic to L ”,*

(ii) *L is a distributive lattice.*

Also: $BA + 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_2$ is consistent (w.r.t. ZFC).

Let BA_κ denote the assertion “All κ -dense sets of reals are isomorphic”.

Fact. BA_κ implies $2^\kappa = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Baumgartner’s 2nd question: *Is BA_{\aleph_2} consistent?*

To the best of my knowledge, answered recently:

Theorem (Neeman, Moore–Todorćević, 2017). *It is possible to have $BA_{\aleph_1} + BA_{\aleph_2}$.*

Theorem (D.S., 2001). *The set $\{\kappa : \text{BA}_\kappa\}$ has the suprema of all its bounded countable subsets. Moreover, if BA_{κ_n} for all $n < \omega$, and $\kappa < \mathfrak{q}_0$ where $\kappa = \sup_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$, then BA_κ .*

Here: $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \min\{|X| : X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ is not a } Q\text{-set}\}$,
 X is a Q -set iff all its subsets are F_σ (and G_δ).

Fact. All Q -sets are meager and null. Moreover, they are in \mathcal{E} , the σ -ideal generated by closed null sets of reals, i.e. $\mathfrak{q}_0 \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{E})$.

Idea of proof:

Lemma. Under BA_κ , all $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa^+}(\mathbb{R})$ are Q -sets, i.e. $\min\{\kappa : \neg \text{BA}_\kappa\} \leq \mathfrak{q}_0$.

Suppose $X, Y \in [\mathbb{R}]^\kappa$ and $\kappa = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \kappa_n < \mathfrak{q}_0$. Decompose X and Y , in a certain regular way, into two increasing sequences of subsets, X_n and Y_n , such that:

- (i) $|X| = |Y| = \kappa_n$,
- (ii) X_n and Y_n are closed nowhere dense subsets of X_{n+1} and Y_{n+1} , resp.,

and construct isomorphisms $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that $f_n \subseteq f_{n+1}$. Then $\bigcup_n f_n$ is an isomorphism of X and Y .

(The fact that X and Y are Q -sets is used in (ii). Also choosing of partial isomorphisms should be controlled.)

Define

$$j_0 = \min\{\kappa : \neg \text{BA}_\kappa\},$$

$$j = \min\{\kappa : \neg \text{BA}_\lambda \text{ for all } \lambda \geq \kappa\}.$$

Corollary.

1. $j_0 \leq j \leq \mathfrak{q}_0 \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{E})$.
2. *If $\text{cf } \mathfrak{q}_0 > \omega$, then $\text{cf } j_0 > \omega$ and $\text{cf } j > \omega$.*

Questions.

1. Can we have $j_0 < j$? i.e. $\neg \text{BA}_\kappa + \text{BA}_\lambda$ for some $\kappa < \lambda$. (Conjecture: Yes. E.g. $\neg \text{BA}_{\omega_1} + \text{BA}_{\omega_2}$.)
2. Can we have $\text{cf } j_0 = \omega$? $\text{cf } j = \omega$? $\text{cf } \mathfrak{q}_0 = \omega$?
(Conjecture: $\text{cf } \mathfrak{q}_0 = \omega$ is consistent. Cf. Fleissner–Miller, 1980: there can be $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that A is a Q -set, $|B| = \aleph_0$, and $A \cup B$ is not a Q -set.)

The same idea of proof can be used to get

Theorem (D.S., 2001). *All 2^{\aleph_0} -dense meager F_σ -sets of reals are isomorphic.*

(This also can be considered as a counterpart of Cantor's theorem.)

Actually, both theorems follow from an abstract theorem. Let us call a linearly ordered set without end-points *self-similar* iff it is isomorphic to each its non-trivial interval.

Theorem. *Let linearly ordered sets X and Y be such that:*

(i) X is decomposed into an increasing sequence of dense subsets X_n , $n < \omega$, which are self-similar, meager and relatively F_σ ,

(ii) Y is decomposed into an increasing sequence of subsets Y_n , $n < \omega$, such that X_n and Y_n are isomorphic.

Then X and Y are isomorphic and self-similar.

Corollary. *Any 2^{\aleph_0} -dense meager F_σ -set of reals is self-similar and isomorphic to its reverse.*

Corollary. *There are exactly two order-types of G_δ -sets of reals that are dense and nowhere open:*

- (i) the order-type of irrationals, and*
- (ii) the order-type of the complement of any 2^{\aleph_0} -dense meager F_σ -set of reals.*

Cf.: there is exactly one homeomorphism type of such sets (from Alexandroff–Hausdorff’s theorem). This fact shows that the order classification is finer than the topological one.

Let $\text{BA}_\kappa^{\text{hom}}$ denote the assertion “All κ -dense sets of reals are homeomorphic”. Clearly, BA_κ implies $\text{BA}_\kappa^{\text{hom}}$.

Questions.

1. Can we have $\text{BA}_\kappa^{\text{hom}} + \neg \text{BA}_\kappa$?
2. Is the set of Borel order-types quasi-well-founded by embedding? (Cf. Laver’s result on scattered order types.)
3. Classify order-types of homogeneous (or self-similar) sets in $\Sigma_\alpha^0 \setminus \Pi_\alpha^0$.

Likewise for other definable sets of reals.

What is a model-theoretic side of BA, its variants and generalizations?

Let $BA_\lambda(X, <)$ denote the assertion “All λ -dense subsets of $(X, <)$ are isomorphic”.

Questions.

1. Let $2^{<\kappa} = \kappa = \text{cf } \kappa$ (for simplicity). Then we have $BA_\kappa(\kappa^\kappa, <_{\text{lex}})$ by Hausdorff’s generalization of Cantor’s theorem. Can we have $BA_\lambda(\kappa^\kappa, <_{\text{lex}})$ for $\lambda > \kappa$? (Conjecture: Yes.)
2. Can we have all \aleph_1 -dense subalgebras of the Cohen algebra (= the Dedekind–MacNeill completion of the atomless countable Boolean algebra) isomorphic? (Use Baumgartner’s argument.)
3. Versions of categoricity and saturatedness in a class of models.